	,
	8
	9
	10
	11
∞	12
TEL: (702) 563-4450 FAX: (702) 552-0408	13
(702)	14
0 FAX:	15
563-445	16
(702)	17
TEL	18
	19
	20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Kevin L. Hernandez, Esq.
2	Nevada Bar No. 12594 LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN L.
3	HERNANDEZ 8872 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 270
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 P: (702) 563-4450
5	F: (702) 552-0408 kevin@kevinhernandezlaw.com
6	Attorney for Plaintiff
7	
8	UNIT
9	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAMES STERN, an individual;

Case No.: 2:20-cv-02135

Plaintiff,

V

AMERICAN EXPRESS NATIONAL BANK; a national banking association; PATENAUDE & FELIX, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; a foreign corporation;

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, James Stern ("Mr. Stern or Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned counsel of record, and for his claims for relief against Defendants, American Express National Bank, formerly known as American Express Centurion Bank ("Amex"), and Patenaude & Felix, A Professional Corporation ("P&F") complains and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises out of P&F's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), Amex's violations of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1691, *et seq.* ("ECOA"), Amex's Breach of Contract, and Amex's Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2.	This court has jurisdiction over this matter under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k, 15 U.S.C. §
1691(d)(2) and	d (3), and U.S.C. § 1331.

- 3. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Breach of Contract and Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing claims against Amex under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the ECOA, FDCPA, and contract claims are so related in the action that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution. Specifically, each claim involves the same transaction, contract, and series of events related to Amex's breach of contract.
- 4. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), venue in this District is proper because Plaintiff and Defendants resided and/or do business in the District of Nevada.
- 5. Venue is also proper in this District because the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in the District of Nevada.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual residing in the State of Nevada.
- 7. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and as such, is a "consumer" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. Plaintiff is an "applicant" under 12 CFR § 1002.2(e) because he is a person who requested and/or received an extension of credit from Amex.
- 9. P&F is a foreign corporation whose principal purpose is the collection of consumer debts.
- 10. P&F regularly collects or attempts to collect consumer debts owed or due another, or asserted to be owed or due another, and therefore, P&F is a "debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 11. P&F's principal purpose is to purchase, service, and collect defaulted consumer debts, and therefore, P&F is a "debt collector" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 12. P&F's website further demonstrates its status as a "debt collector" under the FDCPA because the website provides the disclosures required under 15 U.S.C. 1692e(11), also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ı	
I	known as the "mini-Miranda" warning, i.e. "This communication is from a debt collector. This is
I	an attempt to collect debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose."
I	13. P&F is a licensed debt collector with the Nevada Financial Institutions Division.
I	14. P&F sought to collect a "debt" against Plaintiff under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and
I	NRS § 649.010.
l	15. The Debt was purchased, assigned, or transferred to P&F for collection after the

- Debt was allegedly in a default status with the Creditor.
- 16. Within the past year, P&F wrongfully engaged in collection activities against Plaintiff for an alleged financial obligation arising out of an unsecured credit card (the "Debt").
- 17. The Debt was incurred with Amex ("Creditor") and was primarily for family, personal, or household purposes, which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C § 1692a(5).
- 18. Amex is a foreign corporation who, in the ordinary course of its business, regularly extends or offers credit to consumers.
- 19. Amex regularly extends, renews, or continues credit, and therefore, Amex is a "creditor" under 15 U.S.C. § 1691(e).
- 20. Amex's principal purpose is to decide to extend, renew, or continue credit, and therefore, Amex is a "creditor" under 15 U.S.C. § 1691(e).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

Amex's Racist Statements to Mr. Stern

- 22. Mr. Stern was an Amex customer for over 35 years.
- 23. Mr. Stern maintained a perfect payment history with Amex for over 35 years.
- 24. In March 2017, Mr. Stern used Amex's travel concierge service to purchase firstclass tickets through Hawaiian Airlines for \$3,858.00 using Account Ending in 5-62003 (the "Account").

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

25.	Shortly	after booking	the	flight,	Mr.	Stern's	mother	became	ill,	forcing	him	to
cancel the trip	with app	oroximately 60	day	s' notic	e to	Amex.						

- 26. Rather than working with Mr. Stern in light of his perfect payment history for 35 years, the Amex representative he spoke with refused to reimburse the trip expense.
- 27. Making matters worse, the Amex representative made racially insensitive remarks to Mr. Stern regarding his Japanese heritage (his mother is of Japanese descent).
- 28. The Amex representative referenced the Japanese attacks on Pearl Harbor and asked "jokingly" whether Mr. Stern's mother was present during the attacks.
- 29. The Amex representative's racist remarks caused Mr. Stern to suffer emotional distress and anguish.

The Justice Court Litigation and Settlement

- 30. On February 5, 2018, Amex sued Mr. Stern frivolously in Las Vegas Justice Court in a case entitled American Express Centurion Bank v. James C. Stern [18C002704], for a balance on the Account that he did not owe (the "Justice Court Lawsuit").
- 31. On April 19, 2019, Mr. Stern and Amex entered into a Settlement Agreement whereby Amex agreed to release the inaccurate balance alleged in the Justice Court Lawsuit in full, to dismiss the Justice Court Lawsuit with Prejudice, and to remove any reference to the Debt from Mr. Stern's national credit profiles (the "Settlement Agreement").
- 32. Amex and P&F failed to release the Debt as required under the Settlement Agreement.

Post-Litigation Credit Application and Collection Actions

- 33. On August 23, 2020, Mr. Stern applied for an Amex Platinum Card.
- 34. Mr. Stern's application for credit with Amex permitted Amex to obtain a subscriber copy of his credit report.
- 35. On August 28, 2020, Amex sent a letter to Mr. Stern denying the application because, it claimed, "[Amex] canceled one or more of [Mr. Stern's] previous American Express Card accounts."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	36.	The "previous American Express Card account" that Amex refers to is the card
that w	as subje	ct to the Justice Court Lawsuit, which arose out of Amex's racist comments to Mr.
Stern.		

- 37. The "previous American Express Card account" that Amex refers to is the card that was subject to the Justice Court Lawsuit for which Amex agreed to release the balance in full and delete any associated credit reporting under the Settlement Agreement.
 - 38. On September 3, 2020, Mr. Stern called Amex to discuss its illegal credit denial.
- 39. During his September 3, 2020 call to Amex, Mr. Stern spoke with an Amex team manager named Lohit [Employee ID DTSGA08].
- 40. Lohit advised Mr. Stern that he could not understand why Amex denied his credit application.
- 41. Lohit advised Mr. Stern he would communicate with underwriting regarding the credit denial.
- 42. Underwriting advised Lohit that the denial was based, in part, on the fact that Mr. Stern purportedly owes \$8,123.97 to Amex when this is not true.
- 43. P&F failed to report the release of the balance for the Account as required under the Settlement Agreement.
- Up to the date of this Complaint, P&F continues to communicate to Amex that the 44. Account has a balance due and owing when it does not.
- 45. P&F's failure to communicate to Amex that the Account has no balance caused, in part, Amex's credit denial against Mr. Stern.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[Violations of the FDCPA; 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. against P&F]

- 46. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. P&F violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by engaging in false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of the Account by falsely reporting to Amex

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that the	Account	had a	balance	due a	ınd	owing	when	the	Account	was	released	in	full	under	the
Settleme	ent Agree	ment.													

- 48. P&F violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by falsely representing the character, amount, and legal status of the Account when it failed to report to Amex that the Account was released in full under the Settlement Agreement.
- 49. P&F violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using unfair and unconscionable means to attempt to collect the Account, including without limitation, continuing to report that the Account had a balance due and owing, and in doing so humiliated and belittled Plaintiff.
- 50. The foregoing acts and omissions of P&F constitute multiple intentional, reckless and/or negligent violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
- 51. As a result of the above-referenced violations by P&F, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages, plus actual damages to be proven at the time of trial in this matter.
- 52. Plaintiff suffered actual harm as a direct and proximate result of P&F's actions through the embarrassment, intrusion, invasion of privacy, and wasted time associated with P&F's collection tactics referenced in this Complaint.
- 53. Plaintiff has been forced to retain the Law Office of Kevin L. Hernandez to pursue these claims and is therefore entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees plus costs incurred under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- 54. Plaintiff may have suffered damages in other ways and to other extents not presently known to Plaintiff, and not specified herein.
- 55. Plaintiff reserves the right to assert additional facts and damages not referenced herein, and/or to present evidence of the same at the time of trial.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[Violations of the ECOA against Amex]

- 56. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. Mr. Stern is an "applicant" under 12 C.F.R. 1002.2(e) because he requested an extension of credit from Amex.

1	58.	Amex is a "creditor" under 12 C.F.R. 1002.2(e) because it regularly participates
2	in credit deci	sions, including setting the terms of credit, in the ordinary course of business.
3	59.	Mr. Stern had an "adverse action" taken against him by Amex under 12 C.F.R.
4	1002.2(c) wh	en Amex denied Mr. Stern's credit application.
5	60.	On September 21, 2020, Mr. Stern advised Amex of its improper denial of credit
6	under the EC	OA.
7	61.	Amex failed and refused to reconsider its credit denial.
8	62.	As someone who is of Japanese heritage, Mr. Stern is a member of a protected
9	class.	
10	63.	Amex's credit application denial resulted from racist comments and actions of
11	Amex's repre	esentative toward Mr. Stern, referenced above.
12	64.	Amex denied Mr. Stern's application for credit based on his race, color, and
13	national origi	n.
14	65.	In light of Mr. Stern's credit score and history, Amex should have granted his
15	application fo	or credit.
16	66.	Amex denied Mr. Stern's credit application despite the fact that he was qualified.
17	67.	Amex has inadequate procedures to comply with the ECOA's adverse action
18	notice and red	cord-keeping requirements.
19	68.	Mr. Stern has suffered damages by reason of Amex's violations of the ECOA.
20		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
21		[Breach of Contract against Amex]
22	69.	Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth
23	herein.	
24	70.	Mr. Stern entered into a valid and enforceable contract with Amex, referenced
25	above as the	Settlement Agreement.
26	71.	Mr. Stern performed all terms, covenants, and conditions under the Settlement
27	Agreement.	
28		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

72.	Under	Section	2	of t	the	Agreement,	Amex	agreed	to	"a	full	release	of	the
underlying del	bt."													

- 73. Amex breached the Settlement Agreement by failing and refusing to release the underlying debt associated with the Justice Court Lawsuit.
 - 74. Amex's breaches of the Settlement Agreement were unexcused.
- 75. As a result of Amex's breaches of the Settlement Agreement, Mr. Stern has suffered damages, including without limitation, credit denials, loss of financial standing, embarrassment, and emotional distress.
- 76. As a result of Amex's breaches of the Settlement Agreement, Mr. Stern has been forced to retain the services of legal counsel for which Plaintiff is entitled to recover such costs and expenses from Amex under Section 7 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 77. Section 7 of the Settlement Agreement provides, in pertinent part, that the "prevailing party shall be entitled to attorneys' fees and the costs in connection with any enforcement proceedings."
- 78. Mr. Stern's damages were a foreseeable consequence of Amex's breach of the Settlement Agreement.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing against Amex]

- 79. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- Mr. Stern entered into a valid and enforceable contract with Amex, referenced 80. above as the Settlement Agreement.
- 81. Every contract in Nevada includes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, under which each party must act in a manner that is faithful to the purpose of the contract and the justified expectations of the other party.
 - 82. Under the Settlement Agreement, Amex agreed to release the debt in full.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

83.	The parties understood that the debt release in the Settlement Agreement released
the Account ir	its entirety to ensure that Mr. Stern's personal and financial records were clear of
the debt so tha	t it had no adverse effect on his finances in the future.

- 84. Mr. Stern performed all terms, covenants, and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 85. Amex breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by inducing Mr. Stern to resolve the Justice Court Lawsuit with an agreement to release the debt in full and by failing to do so.
- 86. Amex breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing and/or refusing to take all actions reasonable and necessary to release the debt in full as required under the Settlement Agreement.
- 87. Amex breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing and refusing to release the debt in full as required under the Settlement Agreement.
- 88. As a result of Amex's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Mr. Stern has suffered damages, including without limitation, credit denials, loss of financial standing, embarrassment, and emotional distress.
- As a result of Amex's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair 89. dealing, Mr. Stern has been forced to retain the services of legal counsel for which Plaintiff is entitled to recover such costs and expenses from Amex

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- For an award reimbursing Plaintiff for reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and 1. interest incurred;
- 2. For an award of actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 3. For an award of statutory damages;
- 4. For an award of punitive damages under 15 U.S.C. 1691e(b); and

///

27 ///

///

Case 2:20-cv-02135-GMN-NJK Document 1 Filed 11/19/20 Page 10 of 10

	1	
	2	
	2	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
<u>∞</u>	12	
/02) 552-0408	13	
-	14	
50 FAX	15	
563-44	16	
IEL:(/02) 563-4450 FAX:(17	
<u> </u>	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

28

Law Office of Kevin L. Hernandez 8872 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 270 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

5.	For s	such	other	further	relief	as	the	court	deems	proper
----	-------	------	-------	---------	--------	----	-----	-------	-------	--------

Dated: November 19, 2020

LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN L. HERNANDEZ

/s/ Kevin L. Hernandez ..
Kevin L. Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12594
8872 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 270
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
P: (702) 563-4450
F: (702) 552-0408
kevin@kevinhernandezlaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff